

State of New Hampshire - DEPARTMENT OF SAFETY

2006 Homeland Security

STATE HOMELAND SECURITY GRANT PROGRAM

Due Date: **November 3, 2006 by Noon**



PROJECT APPLICANT

APPLICANT: _____

FUNDS APPLIED FOR (check one): _____ Planning _____ Equipment _____ Both

PROGRAM MANAGER/CONTACT (PRIMARY POINT OF CONTACT)

NAME: _____ TITLE: _____

ADDRESS: _____

TELEPHONE: _____ FAX: _____

EMAIL: _____

PROGRAM MANAGER/CONTACT SIGNATURE: _____

FINANCE OFFICER

NAME: _____ TITLE: _____

ADDRESS: _____

TELEPHONE: _____ FAX: _____

EMAIL: _____

FINANCE OFFICER SIGNATURE: _____

AUTHORIZING OFFICIAL

NAME: _____ TITLE: _____

ADDRESS: _____

TELEPHONE: _____ FAX: _____

EMAIL: _____

AUTHORIZING OFFICIAL SIGNATURE: _____

NATIONAL PRIORITIES AND TARGET CAPABILITIES ALIGNMENT

This 2006 SHSP application directly correlates with the application filed by the State of New Hampshire to the US Department of Homeland Security.

On March 31, 2005, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) issued the Interim National Preparedness Goal (the Goal) and accompanying National Preparedness Guidance (NPG). The Goal represents a significant evolution in the way we approach preparedness and homeland security. The Goal presents a collective vision of national preparedness and establishes National Priorities that will help guide the realization of that vision. The vision set forth by the Goal encompasses the full spectrum of activities necessary to address a broad range of threats and hazards, including terrorism.

On January 10, 2006 a working group consisting of state, local and county officials representing police, fire, EMS, all divisions of the Department of Safety, NH Department of Health and Human Services, Volunteer NH, the NH Department of Justice and other key stakeholders convened to determine which National Priorities and Target Capabilities were a priority in the State of NH. The process determined the "Investments" and funds for which the State of NH would compete in FFY 2006.

All local SHSP Competitive Grant Applications must align with the National Priorities and Target Capabilities listed below. See page 10 for the complete list from DHS.

The SHSP investment supports the following National Priorities:

- A. Implementation of the National Incident Management System (NIMS) and the National Response Plan
- B. Expanded Regional Collaboration
- C. Strengthen Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear and Explosive (CBRNE) Detection, Response and Decontamination Capabilities
- D. Strengthen Interoperable Communications Capabilities

The SHSP investment supports the following Target Capabilities:

- Communications #2
- CBRNE Detection #9
- Critical Infrastructure Protection (CIP) #10
- Firefighting Operations/Support #23
- WMD/Hazardous Materials Response and Decontamination #24
- Structural Damage and Mitigation Assessment #35

STATE STRATEGY ALIGNMENT (SHSS)

In February 2006, the State of New Hampshire's revised State Homeland Security Strategy (SHSS) was approved by DHS. The SHSS determined the overall homeland security goals of the State: (1) Prevention, (2) Protection, (3) Response and (4) Recovery. These goals align with one or more of the seven National Priorities (see Appendix A). The revised State Homeland Security Strategy is available for download on our website <http://www.nh.gov/safety/homeland/index.html> in an abridged form.

SHSP Competitive Applications must align with the SHSS. See page 11 for an executive summary of the State of New Hampshire's Homeland Security Strategy goals.

AUTHORIZED EQUIPMENT LIST (AEL)

The 2006 AEL aligns with all 37 Target Capabilities identified by DHS. The equipment or planning funds requested on this application must support the six Target Capabilities identified as a priority by the State of NH (see previous page).

Instructions for completing this section:

- 1. Name of Equipment or Planning/Intelligence activity:** In this column, please list each piece of equipment to be purchased on a separate line.
- 2. Quantity:** Please provide the quantity of items requested.
- 3. Cost Estimate:** Please provide a cost estimate (total) of the items requested.
- 4. AEL Section:** Enter the specific section number of the Authorized Equipment List (AEL) where each piece of equipment is listed.
- 5. Target Capability Supported:** Please enter the number of the Target Capability this piece of equipment supports. The equipment and project can support more than one target capability.
- 6. Primary Authorized Discipline:** Using the key below, please list each discipline that will be a primary user of the equipment.
- 7. Strategy Alignment:** Please indicate which goal (Prevention, Protection, Response or Recovery) this equipment aligns with in accordance with the NH SHSS. The equipment and project can support more than one strategy goal.

KEY: **LE** - Law Enforcement, **EMS** - Emergency Medical Service, **EMA** - Emergency Management, **FS** - Fire Service, **HZ** - HazMat, **PW** - Public Works, **HC** - Health Care.

NOTE:

The 2006 AEL is available for download on our website <http://www.nh.gov/safety/homeland/index.html>. You may also register on the Responder Knowledge Base <http://www2.rkb.mipt.org/> and view the AEL as well as other helpful DHS information. We suggest you review the AEL in detail prior to filling out this application. Minor expendable items such as traffic cones, ropes, etc., will require strict justification. Personnel costs are not eligible, except possible backfill or overtime money for first responders and officers to attend **DHS approved** training courses. General use computers and software not directly related to preparedness and readiness functions, weapons systems and ammunition, construction or renovation of facilities (except target-hardening such as barriers or alarm systems), and licensing fees are not eligible expenses.

Available Funds

Available SHSP Funds

Training	\$ 250,000.00 *
Exercises	\$ 300,000.00 **
Planning - Local EOCs & EOPs	\$ 200,000.00
Equipment	\$1,010,000.00

*For training funds, please contact Jeff Noyes at Police Standards and Training at 271-2133, do not include on this application

**For exercise funds, please contact Leigh Cheney at the Bureau of Emergency Management at 271-2231, do not include on this application

GRANT NARRATIVE SECTION

Please compose a grant narrative answering all the questions/discussion points below. Please outline your grant narrative as shown for all sections of the grant narrative.

Example:

II. Regionalization

- A. *The State of New Hampshire has a population of 1.3 million and a land area of 8,968 square miles. NH has a lightly secured international border crossing, one of TSA identified "13 critical national seaports", three major interstate highways and major regional energy supplies production and storage facilities. The State of New Hampshire has a year round tourist climate which include skiing and hiking in the NH White Mountains, boating and fishing at over 1000 bodies of water from ponds, lakes to the Atlantic Ocean...*
 - B. *New Hampshire has eleven reporting jurisdictions. Those eleven jurisdictions are comprised of 290 local and state entities. Each of the 290 entities was asked to complete an individual needs assessment. The NH SAA approved the jurisdictional boundaries that were aligned with New Hampshire's 1999 assessment process for continuity purposes. The jurisdictions are the ten counties in NH and the State agencies who perform response operations. NH has moved toward the assessing and awarding of SHSP priorities that focus on better statewide communications and regional collaborations for prevention, response, and recovery...*
-

Questions and Discussion Points to be answered in a grant narrative:

I. Background

- A. Provide a summary description of this project and its purpose. (Not to exceed 200 words)
- B. Explain how the project will support the implementation of the National Priority(ies) selected on page 4. (NTE 100 words)
- C. Explain how the project will support the Target Capability(ies) selected on page 4. (NTE 100 words)
- D. Explain how the project will support the achievement of the SHSS goal selected on page 4. (NTE 100 words)

II. Regionalization

- A. Describe the geographic and demographic area(s) this project covers. (Not to exceed 100 words)
- B. Explain how you will organize to implement this project over the identified geographic area. (NTE 200 words)
- C. Discuss the collaboration process you have, or will establish, with other regions and jurisdictions within or beyond the geographic/demographic area of this project. Discuss when and how you will engage stakeholders from those regions in specific support of this project. (NTE 200 words)
- D. **REQUIRED ATTACHMENT:** Please attach signed MOU agreements, specific to this project, between all agencies/jurisdictions participating in this project. Describe the management team, including their roles and responsibilities, which will be accountable for the oversight and implementation of this project, and the overall management approach they will apply to the implementation of this project. Include financial expectations, responsibilities and breakdowns. (NTE 300 words)

III. Impact

- A. Discuss anticipated impacts of this project and how the requested funding will help attain/achieve expected impacts. (NTE 300 words)
- B. Discuss how the implementation of this project will decrease or mitigate risk. (NTE 250 words)
- C. Describe what the potential Homeland Security risks of not funding this project are. (NTE 100 words)

GRANT NARRATIVE SECTION

IV. Funding and Implementation Plan

- A. Please attach a project-funding plan as outlined below.

FY 2006 SHSP Proposed Project Budget

	SHSP	Other	Other	Other
Personnel				
Planning	\$			
Operations				
Equipment	\$			
Training				
Exercises				
M&A	\$			
TOTAL				

Please fill in "Other" with the funding source being used to further goals in conjunction with this grant. **Supplanting of local funds is not allowed.

- B. Identify potential challenges to the effective implementation of this project. (e.g. stakeholder buy-in, sustainability, aggressive timelines). (NTE 300 words)
- C. Explain how the identified challenges will be addressed and mitigated. (NTE 300 words)
- D. Discuss funding resources beyond FFY 2006 SHSP that have been identified and will be leveraged to support the implementation and sustainment of this investment. (NTE 300 words)
- E. Provide a timeline, including milestones and dates, for the implementation of this project. Possible areas for inclusion are: stakeholder engagement, planning, major acquisitions/purchases, training, exercises, and process/policy updates. Please provide at least three (3) but no more than ten (10) milestones for this project. Please use the following "template" for the timeline:

Milestone #1: (NTE 25 Words) _____

Start Date: _____

End Date: _____

- F. Describe the planned duration for this overall project (not to be limited to the Homeland Security Grant Program). Discuss your long-term sustainability plans for the project after your FY 2006 SHSP funds have been expended, if applicable. (NTE 300 words)

V. Training and Certifications

- A. The 2005 NIMS IS-700 requirement stated that all command staff must have their NIMS IS-700 certification or its equivalent from the Fire Academy. All other staff must be scheduled to complete NIMS prior to December 31, 2007. **In order to qualify for a FFY 2006 Homeland Security Grant, please attach NIMS certificates for command staff. Also attach a signed certification letter stating the progress to date for all other staff regarding NIMS IS-700.** If your command staff NIMS certificates are already on file with us from a previous grant, please address this in the certification letter.
- B. Please attach a brief certification letter regarding the Interoperable Radio training received to date. Please state who has taken the "train-the-trainer" course, when the class was taken and who instructed the class. Also provide a brief narrative stating what training has been conducted within your department/jurisdiction since the "train-the-trainer" course.

2006 Homeland Security Grant Program
Federal Fiscal Year 2006 Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Program
Non-Supplanting Certification

Non-Supplanting Certification: This certification, which is a required component of the New Hampshire application, affirms that federal Homeland Security grant funds will be used to supplement (add to) existing funds, and will not supplant (replace) funds that have been locally appropriated for the same purpose. Potential supplanting will be addressed in the application review as well as in the pre-award review, post award monitoring, and the audit. Applicants and/or grantees will be/may be required to supply documentation certifying that a reduction in non-federal resources occurred for reasons OTHER than the receipt or expected receipt of federal Homeland Security grant funds.

Supplanting funds is loosely defined (for these purposes) as using federal grant money to "replace" or "take the place of" existing local funding for equipment or programs. The funds are intended to provide local entities with **increased capabilities** or to build capacity to address CBRNE/WMD terrorist incidents. Federal Homeland Security grant funds cannot be used to replace aged, local equipment; instead they are intended to help increase capabilities. The funds are not to be used to replace items that are worn out/broken or for replacing (supplanting) routine local budget expenses.

As a condition of the receipt of these funds:

Funding may be suspended or terminated for filing a false certification in this application or other reports or document as part of this program.

Tracking of Equipment:

Upkeep, maintenance, and training of and for equipment procured as part of the Homeland Security grant program is a local and/or grantee responsibility. The inventory of this equipment is a local responsibility and the recipient of such understands that inspections, auditing, and inventory accounting of this equipment may occur as a condition of this grant either from Federal, State or other appropriate level agency and agent

Equipment valued over \$5,000:

To comply with OMB circular A-87, equipment valued at this level must inventoried and tracked locally and be reported to the State Department of Safety (DOS) – Grants Management Unit for 2 years or until the item carries a depreciated value of less than \$100. The disposition of the equipment must be reported. DOS recommends consulting with local auditors for GASB-34 compliance and disposition rules governing equipment procured with Federal funds.

Certification Statement:

I certify that any funds awarded under the fiscal year 2006 Homeland Security Domestic Preparedness Equipment Program will be used to supplement existing funds for program activities, and will not replace (supplant) non-Federal Funds.

I have further read and understand the requirements surrounding fraudulent statements, tracking and inventory of equipment, and rules surrounding equipment valued at over \$5,000.

Print Name (Authorizing Official)

Print Name (Program Manager/Contact)

Signature

Signature

Date

Date

*****THE AUTHORIZING OFFICIAL MUST BE STATUTORILY ALLOWED TO SIGN A CONTRACT FOR THE MUNICIPALITY (i.e. Mayor, City Manager, Town Manager, Chairperson BOS, etc.)**

Send completed application to:

NH Department of Safety-Commissioner's Office
Grants Management Unit
33 Hazen Drive
Concord, NH 03305
603-271-7663
homelandgrants@safety.state.nh.us

2006 Homeland Security Grant Program Competitive Score Criteria

Applicant Name: _____

Program Manager/Contact: _____ **Phone:** _____

Date Received: _____ **Amount Requested:** _____

Project Name: _____

NOTE TO APPLICANT: The following is the score criteria the Grant Review Committee will use to evaluate your application. Please submit this form with your application. Please indicate with a Yes/No on the line following the question if your application addresses the criteria.

1. Does the equipment requested support one or more NH specific National Priority, one or more NH specific Target Capability and align with NH's State Strategy? _____
2. Is this project organized regionally, across town or state borders? _____
3. Is there an established collaboration process with other jurisdictions including signed MOU agreements? _____
4. Will the anticipated impacts of this project be addressed if this project is funded? _____
5. _____
6. Will the implementation of this project decrease or mitigate risk regionally? _____
7. Is there a potential for this project to expand outside the applied-for region? _____
8. Will funds, other than HSGP, be leveraged for this project? _____
9. Is the applied-for region in an area of major concern, a region in close proximity to An international border, or in an area with major critical infrastructure as defined by DHS? _____

Please check the boxes to indicate you are in compliance with all items below. If any one or more of the following are not in compliance, the Grant Review Committee will not score the application:

- ☐ All Equipment is listed on the AEL
- ☐ NIMS certificates are on file
- ☐ Radio training has been completed
- ☐ Application has correct signatures

Example of: Site Eligibility for Critical Infrastructure Protection Funding

The US Department of Homeland Security in Washington, D.C. issued the following guidance:

As part of the FY 2003 SHSGP II (critical infrastructure funds) and the FY 2003 UASI II (operational costs), funding is being provided to pay for costs associated with increased security measures at critical infrastructure sites during the period of heightened threat alert of **December 21, 2003 to January 9, 2004**. States and local governments should generally consider critical infrastructure to include any system or asset that if attacked would result in catastrophic loss of life and/or catastrophic economic loss. In addition, protection for the following specific types of facilities should also be considered:

- Protective security enhancements for large public gatherings/areas such as New Year's Eve celebrations, sporting events and outdoor concerts
- Public water systems serving large population centers
- Primary data storage and processing facilities, major stock exchanges and major banking centers
- Chemical facilities located in close proximity to large population centers
- Major power generation facilities that exceed 2000MW and if successfully attacked would disrupt the regional electric grid
- Hydroelectric facilities and dams that produce power in excess of 2000MW or could result in catastrophic loss of life if breached
- Nuclear Power plants
- Electric substations 500KV or larger, and substations 345KV or larger that are part of a critical system supporting populations in excess of one million people
- Rail and highway bridges over major waterways that, if destroyed, would cause catastrophic economic loss
- Major highway tunnels under waterways that if attacked would cause catastrophic loss of life or catastrophic economic impact
- Major natural gas transmission pipelines in excess of 3000 bcf throughput
- Natural Gas and liquid Natural Gas Storage (LNG) facilities
- Major petroleum handling facilities such as pipelines, ports, refineries and terminals
- Major mass transit subway systems and the supporting ventilation systems
- Telecommunications, internet, and cyber facilities

***This list is generated by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security in Washington, D.C.**

37 Target Capabilities	
<p><u>Common</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Planning •Communications •Risk Management •Community Preparedness and Participation 	<p><u>Respond Mission Area</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Onsite Incident Management •Emergency Operations Center Management •Critical Resource Logistics and Distribution •Volunteer Management and Donations •Responder Safety and Health •Public Safety and Security Response •Animal Health Emergency Support •Environmental Health •Explosive Device Response Operations •Firefighting Operations/Support •WMD/HazMat Response and Decontamination •Citizen Protection: Evacuation and/or In-Place Protection •Isolation and Quarantine •Urban Search & Rescue •Emergency Public Information and Warning •Triage and Pre-Hospital Treatment •Medical Surge •Medical Supplies Management and Distribution •Mass Prophylaxis •Mass Care (Sheltering, Feeding, and Related Services) •Fatality Management
<p><u>Prevent Mission Area</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Information Gathering & Recognition of Indicators & Warnings •Intelligence Analysis and Production •Intelligence / Information Sharing and Dissemination •Law Enforcement Investigation and Operations •CBRNE Detection 	
<p><u>Protect Mission Area</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Critical Infrastructure Protection (CIP) •Food & Agriculture Safety & Defense •Epidemiological Surveillance and Investigation •Public Health Laboratory Testing 	
<p><u>Recover Mission Area</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Structural Damage and Mitigation Assessment •Restoration of Lifelines •Economic & Community Recovery 	

Seven National Priorities

The National Priorities in the Goal help guide the Nation's preparedness efforts to meet its most urgent needs. The priorities fall into two categories: (A) three overarching priorities that contribute to the development of multiple capabilities, and (B) four capability-specific priorities that build selected capabilities for which the Nation has the greatest need.

Three Overarching Priorities

- Expanded Regional Collaboration
- Implementation of National Incident Management System (NIMS) and National Response Plan (NRP)
- Implementation of the Interim National Infrastructure Protection Plan (NIPP)

Four Capability-Specific Priorities

- Strengthen Information Sharing and Collaboration Capabilities
- Strengthen Interoperable Communications Capabilities
- Strengthen Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear and Explosive (CBRNE) Detection, Response and Decontamination Capabilities
- Strengthen Medical Surge and Mass Prophylaxis Capabilities

National Review of Emergency Operations Plans and Status of Catastrophic Planning

The devastating aftermath of Hurricane Katrina focused the Nation on the importance of emergency operations planning for catastrophic incidents. As a result, in addition to the seven National Priorities outlined in the Goal, the FY 2006 HSGP adds an additional priority that emphasizes emergency operations and catastrophic planning.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

STATE HOMELAND SECURITY STRATEGY (SHSS)

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

GOAL #1: Prevention - To achieve and sustain capabilities that enable the State of New Hampshire to avoid an incident or to intervene to stop an incident, either natural or man-made, from occurring. This goal protects lives and property of the citizens of New Hampshire.

GOAL #2: Protection - To achieve and sustain capabilities that enable the State of New Hampshire to reduce the vulnerability of critical infrastructure or key resources in order to deter, mitigate, or neutralize catastrophic events including terrorist attacks, major disasters, and other emergencies.

GOAL #3: Response - Achieve and sustain capabilities that enable the State of New Hampshire to address the short-term, direct effects of natural or man-made including terrorism events. These activities include immediate actions to preserve life, property, and the environment; meet basic human needs; and maintain the social, economic, and political structure of the affected community.

GOAL #4: Recovery - Achieve and sustain capabilities that enable the State of New Hampshire to develop, coordinate, and execute service- and site-restoration plans and the reconstitution of government operations and services through individual, private-sector, nongovernmental, and public assistance programs following a natural or man-made event including a terrorism incident.